Automatic Balancing of an Air-Bearing Three-Axis Small Satellite Test Platform

ALYSSA RALPH

CAL POLY, SAN LUIS OBISPO

RESEARCH EXCHANGE STUDENT

OCTOBER 30^{TH} , 2019

Overview

- Background
- Project Goals
- Literature Review
- Trades
- Design
- Next Steps
- California

Testing ADCS Components

Pitch axis-

- Small torque devices: reaction wheels, magnetorquers, micro-thrusters
 - Need a frictionless environment to test
- Air tables are commonly used
 - Provides a nearly frictionless platform with rotational freedom using an air bearing
 - Able to test in vacuum to further remove effects of the atmosphere



The Balance Problem

- Imbalance exists when the Center of Mass of the system is not aligned with the Center of Rotation
 - Gravity torque causes a pendulum motion of the system, which takes time to settle
- In order to remove the gravity torque, the Center of Mass of the system needs to be at the Center of Rotation
 - Done by having adjustable counterweights to move the Center of Mass
 - More difficult when there is geometric complexity
 - Sensors, wires, actuators, etc.
 - Have to determine the Center of Mass



Kyutech's Air Table

- Developed and verified by Marcos Hernandez Herrera in 2018
- Features:
 - Free rotation in yaw and ±45° in pitch and roll
 - Can be used in and outside of the vacuum chamber
 - Can support up to ~68 kg
- Uses:
 - Testing magnetorquers, reaction wheels, and thrusters in the same vacuum environment
- Currently manually balanced in the yaw axis using nuts and threaded bolts, and roll and pitch by adding nuts to the tabletop
 - Problem: time consuming, requires user experience, takes time to settle before testing (up to a day)





Project Goals

- Design an automatic balancing system
 - Reduced inertia to decrease the amount of mass needed to balance
 - Be able to fit inside vacuum chamber/Helmholtz cage
 - Be balanceable with or without a CubeSat

• Deliver:

- Block diagram
- Sensor and actuator choices to purchase
- CAD model
- If time, a control algorithm for the balancing procedure

Literature Review





University of Sydney

- Currently manually adjusted by sliding a mass with a screw, one in pitch and roll axes
- Automatic system developed with 4 moving masses
- Used CAD model to determine CM and size masses/sliders

Astrofein, Germany

- 7 moving masses: 4 nonorthogonal for course adjustment, 3 orthogonal for fine adjustment
- Commercial product
- No information on balancing procedure





National Autonomous University of Mexico

- 3 moving masses; 2 using high resolution stepper motors
- Manual yaw axis balancing
- Use inclinometers for autobalancing for pitch and roll
- Command torque profile, use an IMU to determine system CM and inertia

Harbin Institute of Technology, China

- 3 moving masses
- Inclinometer and gyro are used to estimate CM for automatic balancing

Vertical vs. Diagonal Sliders Trade

Vertical (Current Table)

- No pitch and roll axis CM control
 - Would still need to manually balance by adding mass on tabletop
 - Impractical, especially in vacuum
- ~7.5 mm control in the yaw axis



Diagonal

- Requires longer legs to get same yaw effect as vertical but has control over roll and pitch axes
 ~7 mm control in the yaw axis
- Moving one mass changes CM in all axes



Optimal Diagonal Design

- Using representative CM, compared magnitudes of distance error
 - 0-90deg from vertical
 - 20, 50, 100 kg masses
- Optimal angle is the chosen case is ~67deg but this is Center of Mass specific
- For current design, mass of counterweights does not affect the error 2.5 cm Along Rod

1.8

pug 1.2

0.6

0.2

0

10

20

30

40

Angle from vertical (deg)

50

60

70

80

90

СM Gen 8.0 % bet

20g

50g 100a



Control Algorithm

- Developed a script that determines how much each weight must move to correct the CR/CM offset
- Accounts for the mass of each counterweight if they vary
- Can help determine lengths of rods needed for chosen configuration
- Could be used for the automatic balancing procedure



Next Steps

- Determine the possible center of mass of other setups that may be put on the table to find the best angle
- Choose actuators and sensors for CM determination and correction
- Order parts
- Test control algorithm



A Brief Introduction to My California

Cal Poly and San Luis Obispo

Central Coast

Mojave Desert



CALIFORNIA REPUBLIC









SLO Donut Company (SloDoCo)



Tacos de Acapulco



In-N-Out Burger



Must Have Foods Around SLO

High Street Deli



Santa Maria Style Tri-tip BBQ at Old SLO BBQ Company









Thank you!

Manual vs. Automatic Trade

	Manual	Automatic
Pros	 Already used at Kyutech Less time to implement up front Cost-effective (fewer additional parts) 	 Quick (minutes)² New state-of-the-art^{1,4} Does not require experience
Cons	 Time consuming (hours) ^{1,2,4/5} Trial and error² Limited accuracy^{1,2,4/5} Changes to system require repeat process² Requires experience³ 	 More time to implement up front Requires control algorithm development Requires procurement of actuators

1 - Yang Liu et al.: Automatic Mass Balancing of a Spacecraft Simulator Based on Non-orthogonal Structure
 2 - Simone Chesi et al.: Automatic Mass Balancing of a Spacecraft Three-Axis Simulator: Analysis and Experimentation
 3 - Shuai Wang et al.: Balancing Methods on the Three-Axis Air-Bearing Platform
 4 - Jae-Jun Kim et al.: Automatic Mass Balancing of Air-Bearing-Based Three-Axis Rotational Spacecraft Simulator
 5 - Jae-Jun Kim et al.: System Identification and Automatic Mass Balancing of Ground-Based Three-Axis Spacecraft Simulator
 6 - Trevor Kwan et al.: An Air Bearing Table for Satellite Attitude Control Simulation

Center of Mass Calculation

- R(0)-25 M,=[Rc(45)s(0)+d Rc(45)s(0)+d $M_{2} = [-R_{2}(145)s(\theta) - d R_{2}(145)s(\theta) + d - R_{2}(\theta) - 25]$ $M_{3} = [R_{3}(145)s(\theta) + d - R_{2}(145)s(\theta) - d - R_{3}(\theta) - 25]$ $M_{4} = [-R_{4}(145)s(\theta) - d - R_{4}(145)s(\theta) - d - R_{4}(\theta - \theta) - 25]$ mz $CM_{weights} = \left[(145)_{5(0)}(m, R, -m_2R_2 + m_3R_3 - m_4R_4) \right] (m) \\ (145)_{5(0)}(m, R, +m_2R_2 - m_3R_3 - m_4R_4) \right] (m)$ [- ((0) (m, R, + m2 k2 + m3 k3 + my ky + 100) CM sim uto = - CM weights -> solve for Ri, Rz, Rz, Ry Currently, highest mass can go is ~3cm below table

Other Credits

- Slide 13:
 - Seals: <u>https://i.pinimg.com/originals/f9/d9/64/f9d964c7e13906dd8fc6d60b1f56d771.jpg</u>
 - Lighthouse: https://www.blm.gov/visit/piedras-blancas
- Slide 15:
 - SloDoCo: Yelp
 - High Street Deli: Yelp and High Street Deli Facebook Page
 - Tri-tip: Yelp
 - Tacos de Acapulco: Yelp
 - In-N-Out outside: <u>http://www.thesungazette.com/article/news/2019/07/31/visalia-site-plan-review-points-to-a-second-in-n-out-location/</u>
 - In-N-Out hat: <u>https://www.ebay.com/itm/IN-N-OUT-BURGER-Paper-hats-LOT-OF-9-hats-Southern-California-NEW-</u> /223565581991
- Slide 16:
 - Stratolaunch: <u>https://www.popularmechanics.com/flight/a26715/stratolaunch-rolls-out-of-hangar/</u>